THE EFFICACY OF USING A MORPHOLOGICAL ACCELERATION FACTOR TO SIMULATE LARGE-SCALE AND LONG-TERM FLUVIAL MORPHODYNAMICS

Jacob A. Morgan, Nirnimesh Kumar, Alexander R. Horner-Devine, Shelby Ahrendt, Erkan Istanbulluoglu, Christina Bandaragoda Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Washington

BACKGROUND & TEST SIMULATIONS • Large-scale and long-term fluvial morphodynamics are driven by processes which occur at much smaller spatial and temporal scales E.g., an advective basin-scale sediment wave propagating through the Nooksack River (Fig. 1) over a multi-decadal time period (Anderson and Konrad 2019) • These long-term patterns of erosion and deposition can affect flood hazard in populated lowland regions (see Shelby Ahrendt's poster) • Resolving the relevant range of scales in simulations requires large domains with fine spatial and temporal steps, which is computationally expensive • Can we use a morphological acceleration factor (morfac, M_f) to reduce simulation time? • The use of morfac assumes linearity between hydrodynamics and resultant morphodynamics • Morfac is commonly used in coastal/estuarine simulations with values $O(10^2)$ or greater • In fluvial simulations the use of morfac is generally confined to steady (or quasi-steady) flow • How does using morfac to scale an unsteady hydrograph affect morphodynamics? • Implementing morfac here requires adjusting the inflow time-series by a factor of M_{f} , effectively compressing the upstream boundary hydrograph (Fig. 2) • Using Delft3D test M_f (5, 7.5, 10, 15, 20, 50), using no acceleration ($M_f = 1$) as a standard (2)**FLOOD WAVE PROPAGATION** • Higher M_f values result in more attenuative flood waves (lower celerities and peak flows, Fig. 3a) • Peak discharge moves downstream as a power-law function of time (Fig. 3b and c) $s = \alpha \times t^{\beta}$ • From fitting (Fig. 3b and c), wave celerity (c = ds/dt) is inversely proportional to morfac $c \approx 181.3/M_f$ • Peak discharge reduction (Fig. 3d) is directly proportaional to distance and morfac $\Delta Q \approx 0.02 \times s \times M_f$ $M_f = 1$ 5 7.5 10 15 20 50 (a)300 $s = 0.00 \mathrm{~km}$ 200 $\overset{ m a}{}_{10^1}$ 100 $lpha = 181.3 imes M_{f}^{-0.92}$ s = 10.13 km2000.95100 0.9 0.85 $\beta \approx 1$ ິ_ຕ 300 ສ_____300 $s=19.48~\mathrm{km}$ 08 Morphological acceleration factor, M_f e 100 s = 31.26 km (\mathbf{d}) \tilde{c} 200 10^{2} 10^{1} s = 45.01 km200 100 300

s = 64.44 km 10^{-1} 200 $\Delta Q = 4.21 \times t_Q$ ∃ dg gg F 10^{-1} 10^{-2} Relative corrected time Corrected time (days) of hydrograph peak, t_Q (day)

Fig. 3 (a) Discharge time-series at several downstream locations, showing increased flood wave attenuation with increasing morfac, (b and c) fitting parameters for power-law relationship between peak discharge timing and location, and (d) relationship between reduction in peak flow and time.





