Exploring the Impacts of Hurricanes and Cold Fronts on the Morphological Evolution of the Wax Lake Delta, LA

Fei Xing^{1,2}, James Syvitski¹, Albert Kettner¹, Ehab Meselhe² ¹ Community Surface Dynamics Modeling System (CSDMS), Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research (INSTAAR), University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA ² The Water Institute of the Gulf, Baton Rouge, LA, USA Contacting: Fxing@thewaterinstitute.org

Introduction

Hurricanes and winter cold fronts both cause deposition and erosion on the Louisiana wetland coast. Unknown is the importance of these extreme events on the morphological evolution of a river-dominated delta. We apply Delft3D to investigate the influences of hurricanes and cold fronts on the Wax Lake Delta (WLD, Fig. 1). WLD is low-elevated, fast prograding and vulnerable to coastal disturbances. The delta is exposed to frequent cold fronts in winter (20 – 30 times per year) and episodic hurricanes in summer and autumn (16 major hurricanes potentially affected this area between 1941 and 2008).













Fig. 1. Bathymetry and topography of model domains: A) Gulf of Mexico domain, with the track of Hurricane Ike. B) and C) show the detailed bathymetry and topography of the Atchafalaya and the Wax Lake Delta domains. The grey line in C) defines the area of interest for the sediment balance.

Method and Model Validation

hydrodynamic model The system Delft3D (flow, wave, and morphology) is applied to 2 hurricanes in 2008 (Gustav and Ike) and 11 cold fronts











-0.02

-0.03

-0.04

-0.05

Mean wind: 6.7 m/s; Net: -3,000 m³; Erosion: -19,000 m³; Deposition: 16,000 m³

Net: 7,000 m³; Erosion: -3,000 m³; Deposition: 10,000 m³

Mean wind: 8.4 m/s; Net: -13,000 m³; Erosion: -72,000 m³; Deposition: 59,000 m³

Net: 10,000 m³; Erosion (m) Erosion: -11,000 m³; Deposition: 21,000 m³

Fig. 5. Morphological changes and sediment balance during A) cold front CF 9 including winds and waves; B) CF 9 excluding winds and waves; C) CF 11 including winds and waves; D) CF 11 excluding winds and waves; E) CF 20 including winds and waves; F) CF 20 excluding winds and waves; G) CF 21 including winds and waves; H) CF **21** excluding winds and waves.

Model Uncertainty

36 simulations explore the uncertainty related to grain size, critical shear stress for erosion (Cr_{ERO}) mud and deposition (Cr_{SFD}), using the sampling hypercube Latin method (Stein 1987). Spatial uncertainty follows the pattern morphological changes: of uncertainty is high where more morphological changes occur (Fig. 5, 6). Model uncertainty the with event varies magnitude; higher for Hurricane Ike than the cold front 11 (Fig. 6). Events should be evaluated for specific hydrodynamic conditions.



during 2008 – 2009 season (29 events with available winds out of 41 events, Fig. 2). Results are compared with observed and surges wave storm parameters (Fig. 3, 4). The model captures both the storm surges and wave fields during cold hurricane front and events.



Fig. 2. Wind structures: A) typical cold; B) strong cold front; C) minor cold front with offshore winds; D) cold front with onshore winds; E) Hurricane Ike.





Importance of Hurricanes and Cold Fronts on Morphology

11 events during the 2008 – 2009 season are simulated to analyze the statistical features of cold fronts and their influences on delta evolution. Simulations show winds and waves significantly increase sediment erosion and deposition; the rates of erosion and deposition are positively correlated to mean wind speeds; $R^2 = 0.94$ and 0.81 for erosion and deposition, respectively (Fig. 7A, 7B). We estimate that 1,900,000 m³ of sediment would be transported out of the WLD area during the cold front season, significantly more than the sediments removed by hurricanes (500,000 m³).







Delta's responses to cold fronts and hurricanes

Both hurricanes and cold fronts cause erosion on the WLD (Fig. 5, 6). Morphological changes vary according to wind structures and speeds (Fig. 3, 5). Mean wind speed is critical in controlling sediment transport (Fig. 5) and abrupt water level variations favor sediment transport (Fig. 5). Hurricane lke produced significant sediment transport within a short period (Fig. 6D). Winds and waves intensify residual currents and sediment transport (Fig. 6). Channels are dominated by deposition, distinct from the erosional pattern during the cold front (Fig. 5C) and river floods, demonstrating marine forces outweigh fluvial forces during Hurricane lke. The strong downstream flow caused by fluvial forces and offshore winds produces significant erosion in channels and deposition at the delta front for both cold front 11 and lke.



Spatial distribution of model Fig. uncertainty during Hurricane Ike (A) or cold front event 11 (B).

Fig. 8. Relationship between mean wind speeds (m/s) and hourly erosion and deposition caused by winds and waves.

Conclusions

Hurricanes and cold fronts cause erosion on islands; opposite to river floods. Hurricane Ike caused deposition in channels, distinct from the erosional pattern for river floods and cold fronts— winds & waves outweigh fluvial forces. Cold front erosion is caused by mean wind speeds and water level variations. Although hurricanes cause more sediment transport in a shorter time, frequent cold fronts are more critical in determining long-term morphological changes of the WLD system.

Acknowledgements Award # 1135427

