From Relative Sea Level Rise to Coastal Risk: Estimating Contemporary and Future Flood Risk in Deltas

CSDMS 2016 Annual Meeting May 19, 2016

Zachary Tessler¹, Charles Vörösmarty^{1,2}, Michael Grossberg², Irina Gladkova², Hannah Aizenman², James Syvitski³, Efi Foufoula-Georgiou⁴



Relative Sea Level Rise is a major challenge in deltas



Drivers of RSLR



Fig. 6. Dominant factor in estimate of baseline ESLR for each of the 40 deltas. Sediment trapping is the dominant factor for 27 deltas, eustatic sealevel rise is the dominant factor for 8 deltas and accelerated subsidence is the dominant factor for 5 deltas. This represents the major forces at play under contemporary conditions.





Coastal development:









Goal: Estimate sensitivity of flood risk to RSLR across 48 major global deltas





Risk and vulnerability modeling

Ecosystem (natural, climatic, anthropogenic, etc.) Impacts the Social Ecological System Social **Multi-Hazard** Exposure Ecosystem Exposure Ч **RISK** Interaction with and from outside the SES Tipping and transformation processes

Sustain Sci

Fig. 1 Deltas-SES framework (source: authors based on Turner et al. 2003; Damm 2010; Garschagen 2014; Kloos et al. 20 Z. IESSIER - CUINY ASKC

Table 2 Illustrative example indicators used or suggested by t r social vulnerability assessment						
Social susceptibility	Indicator					
Urban areas						
Urbanization, population density, and population growth	Population density (n/km2)					
Key economic sectors and services						
Aggregate measures of public infrastructure	Density of public infrastructure (m/ha)					
Water supply	Volume of water storage in the reservoir (m3)					
Transportation infrastructure	Roads (km)					
Housing/settlement characteristics	Quality of house (categorical)					
Livelihoods						
Income	Income (amount of money/household/year)					
Disability	Percentage of disabled persons (%)					
Age	Age (years)					
Gender	Percentage of male-headed household (%)					
Household size	Homestead/household size (number of persons)					
Assets	Landholdings (ha)					
Dependency on climate-sensitive income sources	Percentage of population primarily living on fishing (%)					
Human security						
Land conflicts	Land conflicts per year (n)					
Human health						
Health impacts due to storms and floods	primary school (%)					
Food and waterborne diseases	Percentage of households indicating ownership of a sanitary facility (%)					
Arconic and calt related health impacts	Arsenic consumption through drinking water (mg/L)					
Arsenic- and salt-related health impacts						
Structural and physical options	Existence of structural measures such as dikes (binary)					
Engineered and built environment	Percentage of households that received emergency recovery					
system electricity transportation social capital index (-)	relief (%)					
medical services, access to market)	water (%)					
	Percentage of population with no access to electricity (%)					
	The second second statement of the second statement of					

IR35

Sustain Sci

Delta Flood Risk Model

- Model risk as an *expected loss*
- Loss from a single hazardous event, $h_{0:}$

$$L = E_{h_0} * V_{h_0}$$

- *E* is *exposure*, the number of people exposed to hazardous conditions by h_0
- V is vulnerability, is the average harm or loss endured by those exposed









Delta Flood Risk Model

• Total risk or expected loss, *R*, is the sum of expected losses over all possible hazardous events, weighted by each event's probability H(h):

$$R = \sum_{h} H(h)E(h)V(h)$$





Hazard Frequency and Intensity (H)

- Indicators:
 - Tropical cyclone intensity and frequency
 - M2 tidal amplitude
 - River discharge 30yi return value (standardized)
 - Wave energy 30yr return value (standardized)





Vulnerability (V)



- Many variables have been used in local/regional studies to estimate social vulnerability
- Here, restricting ourselves to datasets available at the global scale
- Vulnerability of a delta varies at the household scale (strength/quality of housing) and the delta scale (coastal infrastructure)
- Indicators:
 - Per capita GDP (household vulnerability)
 - Aggregate GDP (Capacity for infrastructure investment)
 - Government effectiveness index (Capacity/will for preparedness, response)



Exposure (E)



- RSLR results in lower elevation, increased population exposed (for a given hazard)
- Estimate RSLR from available indicators
- Obtain estimate for changing flood risk due to RSLR
- RSLR ~ E'

While low-lying areas in the Mekong and Irrawaddy are flooded, <0m elevation land in the Pearl is protected by coastal and channel barriers (From Syvitski 2009)



Figure 2 | Examples of actual and potential delta flooding, a, Mekong, Vietnam, and b, Irrawaddy, Myanmar, displayed with SRTM altimetry, showing flooded areas in dark red, based on MODIS imaging. The Mekong River flooded on 8 November 2007. A costal surge from Cyclone Nargis inundated the Irrawaddy on 5 May 2008. c, The Pearl Delta, China, displayed with SRTM altimetry, with areas below seal evel shown in purple. The Pearl is protected from storm surges by coastal and channel barriers as seen in associated Digital Globe images (Google Earth).

© 2009 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reser

NATURE GEOSCIENCE | VOL 2 | OCT

RSLR Indicators

- Delta:
 - Population Density
 - Wetland Disconnectivity
 - Impervious Surface Area
 - Groundwater Depletion
 - Hydrocarbon Extraction
- Upstream Basin:
 - Population Density
 - Wetland Disconnectivity
 - Impervious Surface Area
 Offshore:
 - Local sea level rise trend





Estimates from Ericson (2006) and Syvitski (2009)



Rate of Change of Exposure (E')





Hazard Frequency and Intensity (H)





Investment Capacity - inverse of Vulnerability (V)



Delta R' Space





A thought experiment...

- How might vulnerability change in an energy-constrained future?
 - Energy prices are expected to rise faster than GDP (US Energy Information Administration models)
 - Require stronger infrastructure to maintain constant level of protection due to future SLR
 - Overall, more expensive to reduce vulnerability
 - Re-weight Vulnerability Index to reduce the relative importance of GDP
 - (more difficult to "buy your way out of trouble")



Future Changes in Vulnerability, and Exposure





How do we expect R' to change in this future scenario?

Wealthy deltas currently invest in protective infrastructure, reducing risk from increasing RSLR despite geophysical setting



Risk', now and future scenario change





Future Scenarios

- Current methodology utilizes coarse scale indicators to build a heuristic model of coastal risk
- Lack of physical process modeling makes incorporating future change difficult.
- We considered future global macroeconomic trends can we extend this to include other processes:
 - Accelerating SLR
 - Increased dam construction
 - Population growth, migration
- Can we quantify the effect of mitigation strategies on coastal risk?



Environmental change -> RSLR

• Following Ericson 2006 model of RSLR, with Syvitski and Milliman 2007 BQART model of mean fluvial sediment flux:

 $N_{eslr} = G_{slr} + G_{sub} - G_{cfluv}$

N_{eslr}= Net effective sea-level rise

G_{slr}= Gross accelerated eustatic sea-level rise

 G_{sub} = Gross total subsidence (= G_{ns} + G_{cs}) G_{cs} = Gross human-induced subsidence, approximated from drawdown estimates

 G_{cfluv} = Gross contemporary accretion of fluvial sediment corrected for upstream trapping and decreased discharge

 $G_{cfluv} = G_{nfluv} * (1 - TE_{bas})$

$$\begin{split} Q_{\rm s} &= \omega B Q^{0.31} A^{0.5} R T \ \text{for} \ T \geq 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \\ Q_{\rm s} &= 2 \omega B Q^{0.31} A^{0.5} R \ \text{for} \ T < 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \end{split}$$

Estimate long-term mean RSLR across global deltas based on global available remote sensing, modeling, and other data

Ericson, 2006





"Pristine" vs "Contemporary" conditions

No humans, no reservoirs, no groundwater/hydrocarbon mining, 1.5mm/yr SLR

Modern conditions based on globally-available datasets, 3mm/yr SLR





Z. Tessler – CUNY ASRC – GC44C-01

"Pristine" vs "Contemporary"





"Pristine" vs "Accelerated SLR (5mm/yr)"

Potential for a wide range of "What if" questions.

Compare relative scale of impact from different drivers?

Which deltas are more sensitive to specific environmental changes?





Delta Risk Profiling Conclusions

- Krishna, Ganges, Brahmani, Godavari deltas are most sensitive to increased RSLR (per capita risk basis)
- Several wealthy deltas (Mississippi, Rhine) have low R' despite high hazard and high RSLR estimates due to low vulnerability
- Future changes to vulnerability due to changing economics of coastal protection will have outsized effects on these systems. These particular systems are highly sensitive to changing vulnerability.
- Starting to investigate how anthropogenic changes to the upstream and coastal environments propagate through to affect long-term coastal risk.
- Population growth/contraction is a critical factor in future risk—collaborations with social scientists are very important!



www.globaldeltarisk.net

C 🏦 🗋 www.globaldeltarisk.net/data.htm

Deltas at Risk

Profiling Risk and Sustainability in Coastal Deltas of the World

Global Delta Maps

Data is in an equirectangular (latitude-longitude) projection using the WGS84 coordinate system.

Overview Details Dat	Resolution	ASCII		GeoTIFF		Shapefile	
		ZIP	TAR.GZ	ZIP	TAR.GZ	ZIP	TAR.GZ
Please cite our researd	Global - 30 arc-sec						
A full description of the data an Science website.	Global - 2.5 arc-min					SHP	

Delta risk indices and u

Name All Indices Anthropogenic Con Hazardous Event Ir

Individual Delta Extent Maps

Data is in an equirectangular (latitude-longitude) projection using the WGS84 coordinate system. Raster formats (ASCII, GeoTiff) are at 250m nominal resolution. Population density in PNG maps is from GRUMPv1 circa 2000.

	Delta	PNG	ASCII		GeoTIFF		Shapefile	
nditioni	Delta	FNG	ZIP	TAR.GZ	ZIP	TAR.GZ	ZIP	TAR.GZ
ndex (H	All						SHP	SHP
	Amazon	100					SHP	SHP
	Amur						SHP	SHP









Z. Tessler – CUNY ASRC

• Overview of

plus all data

indices, and

available for

research,

all delta

maps are

download

• More details

al., 2015,

in Tessler et

Science 349