

# **Overcoming Grand Challenges** by Collaboration between Experimentalists and Modelers

Wonsuck Kim, Brandon McElroy, Kimberly Miller, Raleigh Martin, Leslie Hsu

**TEXAS** Geosciences The University of Texas at Austin Jackson School of Geosciences Department of Geological Sciences





## What is SEN?

#### • Sediment Experimentalist Network

- NSF EarthCube Research Coordination Network (RCN)
  - To support a data-enabled community for experimental Earthsurface process research
- EC: Experimental Collaboratories;
- ED: Education & Data Standards;
- KB: Knowledge Base;



# SEN-EC (Experimental Collaboratories)

2

#### • Experimental Collaboratories

- Facilitate collaboration between experimental labs
  - Develop collaborative infrastructure
  - Broadcast experiments
  - Distribute experimental data
  - Address community grand challenges
- Broadcasting Experiments

• Live Experiment Calendar



Sediment Experimentalist Network

## SEN-KB (Knowledge Base)

#### • Knowledge Base

• Develop online resources for experimental data management

- SEN-Wiki (sedexp.net)
  - 45 Data; 26 Setups; 18 Methods; 21 Equipment; 6 Labs
- Forum for user-based information exchange
- Metadata, methods and facilities library



# SEN-ED (Education & Data Standards)

- Develop & disseminate recommendations for data practices and standards
- Geomorphology paper in Binghamton Symposium
  - Data management, sharing, and reuse in experimental geomorphology: Challenge, strategies, and scientific opportunities

- Workshop
  - 2014 SEN Workshop at Utrecht University
  - 2013 SEN Workshop at Nagasaki University
  - 2012 SEN Workshop at UT-Austin
- AGU Town Hall
  - 2012-2014: Publishing and sharing Earth Surface Process Data
- Summer Institute on Earth-surface Dynamics
- Two most significant challenges
  - Data discoverability
  - Data accessibility

### 2012 SEN Workshop at the University of Texas Calling All Experimentalists









### 2013 SEN Workshop at Nagasaki University, Japan Stratodynamics



## 2014 SEN Workshop at Utrecht University, Netherlands SEN Going Dutch: Exploring the Life Cycle of Sedimentary Experiments.



## Why do we need a Sediment Experimentalist Network?





Michener et al., 1997

o Dark Data

• Big Data





11

- Dark Data
- Big Data
- o Diverse Data

#### Long Tail Characteristics

- More specialised
- Low volume
- On C drives
- Hard to find
- Heterogeneous
- Collected by many people
- Citizen science
- Etc
- Etc



IGSN Workshop, 34 IGC Brisbane, August 5, 2012 http://juliegood.wordpress.com/tag/long-tail/

12

- o Dark Data
- Big Data
- o Diverse Data
- o Separable Data
  - Funding agencies are asking for data management plans
  - Journals are asking for links to archived full datasets



Jorge cham

- o Clinic2.1: SEN: Take only measurements. Leave only data
- Wednesday at 1:30 PM C120A/B
- Best practices for data collection and management
  - Lifecycle of data
  - Metadata
  - Data preservation, discovery, and reuse
  - Workflow
  - Cyberinfrastructure, web-based data repositories
  - The SEN Knowledge Base, and more

# Challenges in Experimental Surface Science

- Earthscape 2100 (Gary Parker at the 2013 Nagasaki SEN Workshop)
- 2016 CSDMS: Advances in simulating the imprint of climate change on the land and seascapes, including the processes that influence them



- Challenges in experimental surface science require data synthesis and experimentalist-modeler collaborations:
  - Repeatability
  - Scalability
  - Autogenic vs. Allogenic Processes

#### Earthscape Imprint of Climate Change Arctic: A delta prograding an ice-cover lake

Jan 2016, No name basin (0.9 m x 0.5 m)



Ye Jin Lim (MS student in UT)

15

CSDMS-SEN 2016

#### Earthscape Imprint of Climate Change Arctic: A delta prograding an ice-cover lake

16

Jan 2016, No name basin (0.9 m x 0.5 m)



No ice-cover

**Ice-cover** 

CSDMS-SEN 2016

#### Earthscape Imprint of Climate Change Arctic: A delta prograding an ice-cover lake

17

#### Jan 2016, No name basin (0.9 m x 0.5 m)





CSDMS-SEN 2016

No ice-cover

**Ice-cover** 

Observation of core processes through Experiment High-resolution data to support ideas

# Arctic: A delta prograding an ice-cover lake

18

- The processes that created under-ice subaqueous channels and associated rough topography are demonstrated.
- Ice-delta interaction produces the climate imprint on seascape!
- Simple
- Space and time scales inaccessible in the field

#### • HOWEVER,

- Scale?
- Natural example?



#### Autogenic vs. Allogenic Processes Experimental Results



19

R4: 0.052 mm/s RSLR

R5: 0.116 mm/s RSLR Migration Reversal!

Carolina Baumanis (Undergrad student in UT)

## **Physical Flume Experiment**

- Verifying the mathematical model with sediment experiments
- Flume dimensions: 0.88 x 0.6 x 0.04 m
- Six Runs with RSLRs = 0, 0.072, 0.013, 0.052, 0.116, and 0.325 mm/s

- Sediment mixture:
  - Quartz sand (33%; D = 0.1 mm; 2650 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)
  - Walnut sediment (66%; D = 0.1 mm; 1300 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)
- $Q_s = 3.34 \text{ g/s}; Q_w = 11.39 \text{ ml/s}$
- Initial base level: 5 cm



### **Mathematical Model**



Sediment mass-balance equation for the gravel and sand river reaches:

$$\frac{\partial \eta_{g,s}}{\partial t} + \sigma = -\frac{I_f (1 + \Lambda_{sg,ms}) \Omega_{g,s}}{(1 - \lambda_{pg,ps}) \Phi_{g,s}} \frac{\partial q_{g,s}}{\partial x}$$

#### Moving Boundary 1: Gravel-Sand Transition (GST)

$$\dot{e} = \left[\frac{\partial \eta_g}{\partial t}\Big|_e - \frac{\partial \eta_s}{\partial t}\Big|_e\right] / \left[S_g\Big|_e - S_s\Big|_e\right]$$

 $S_{g|_{e}}$  = gravel-bed slope at GST &  $S_{s|_{e}}$  = sand-bed slope at GST

#### Moving Boundary 2: Shoreline

$$\dot{s} = \frac{1}{(s_f - s_s|_s)} \left\{ \frac{I_f (1 + \Lambda_{ms})\Omega_s}{(1 - \lambda_{ps})(u - s)\Phi_s} q_s[s(t), t] - \frac{\partial \eta_s}{\partial x} \right|_s \right\}$$

Shoreline shock condition: No sediment transport beyond x = u

#### Moving Boundary 3: Delta toe

$$\dot{u} = \frac{1}{(S_f - S_b|_u)} \left\{ \frac{\partial \eta_s}{\partial t} \right|_s + \left( S_f - S_s|_s \right) \dot{s} \right\}$$

A linear foreset geometry; Non-erodible linear sloped basement

#### **Backwater Formulation**

$$\frac{\partial H_{g,s}}{\partial x} = \frac{S_{g,s} - C_{fg,fs} F r_g}{1 - F r_{g,s}^2}$$

 $C_f$  = friction coefficient; Fr = Froude number; H = flow depth.

#### **Sediment Transport Relations**

$$q_g = \sqrt{RgD_g} D_g 11.2 \left(\tau_g^*\right)^{1.5} \left(1 - \frac{0.03}{\tau_g^*}\right)^{4.5}$$

 $q_{s} = \sqrt{RgD_{s}}D_{s}\frac{0.05}{c_{fs}}(\tau_{s}^{*})^{2.5}$ 

Parker [1979] for the gravel transport

## Modeling Results: Three RSLR Rates



## **Migration Reversal in M3**

• The GST and shoreline migrated opposing directions



### **Comparison with Model**



 Change the sediment transport relations to empirical relations from the current runs



### Autogenic vs. Allogenic



### Autogenic Product as a Signal

• Standard Deviation for GST decreases with RSLR rate

26



- Fluctuations of the topset slope (Kim and Jerolmack, 2008)
- The zigzag shazam trajectories are from the cycles of autogenic processes

#### What caused the changes in the magnitude of variation?

#### Moving Boundary 1: Gravel-Sand Transition (GST)

- $\dot{e} = \left[\frac{\partial \eta_g}{\partial t}\Big|_e \frac{\partial \eta_s}{\partial t}\Big|_e\right] / \left[S_g\Big|_e S_s\Big|_e\right]$
- $S_{\rm g}|_{\rm e}$  = gravel-bed slope at GST &  $S_{\rm s}|_{\rm e}$  = sand-bed slope at GST

#### Changes in slopes and depositional rates

## Stratigraphic Evolution: S2S



- Storage in the sand reach  $\rightarrow$  increasing slope
- Less deposition of the sand reach at GST causes a retreat of GST
- More deposition of the walnut reach at GST = less transport to the foreset
- Only most fine sediment reaches the foreset, developing a darker layer
- Storage in the walnut reach → increasing slope (some sand can transport through) and initiating release, developing a lighter-colored layer
- Release in the walnut reach  $\rightarrow$  decreasing slope, decreasing deposition at the GST
- Release in the sand reach → decreasing slope and advancing GST

## **Modeling Autogenic Processes**

#### Modeling internal dynamics and stratigraphic signatures

 Noise? Autogenic stratal product can be a useful signal to understand environmental controls (sea-level, tectonics, and sediment supply) to the sedimentary basin.

28

#### **Complex Allogenic - Autogenic Coupling** Global Warming and Extreme Weather



**New York Times:** In Weather Chaos, a Case for Global Warming August 14, 2010 PAKISTAN The worst flooding in at least 80 years has killed ~1,384 people. RUSSIA Wildfires stoked by the country's worst heat wave on record have burned 1.9 million acres.

**FOX News:** Extreme Weather: Why Has Mother Nature Gone Bonkers?January 06, 2010 NASA Earth Observatory: A wave of frigid air spilled down over Europe and Russia from the Arctic in mid-December, creating a deadly cold snap. Blue indicates temperatures as low as -20 Centigrade.



Climate = Allogenic / Weather = Autogenic

Example modeling results using the discontinuous ('sticky') sediment transport (Wolinsky, M., unpublished work).

#### **Extreme Weather by Climate Change**

# Overcoming Grand Challenges by Collaboration between Experimentalists and Modelers

- Theoretical and numerical modeling based on first principles can help
  - to extrapolate insight from experiments to field scales,
  - to compare results from different lab facilities, and
  - to decouple autogenic processes and allogenic forcings in geomorphology and stratigraphy.
- The experimentalist-modeler collaborative effort will result in tremendous opportunities for overcoming grand challenges in our communities.

# Thank You!

