GROUP I: INTERACTIONS WITH FIELD AND LAB EFFORTS

There are many coordinated programs going on with strong field and lab components focused on erosion, sediment transport, deposition, morphodynamics and stratigraphy:

Field Examples:

- NSF Margins Source-to-Sink -- field studies of whole sediment systems
- ONR Mine Burial -- sandy, wave-dominated inner shelf over storm events
- -ONR/EU EuroSTRATAFORM -- field studies of whole sediment systems
- ONR GEOCLUTTER -- channel-fill shelf stratigraphy formed over sea level cycles
- Consortium of Universities for the Advancement of Hydrological Sciences Inc
- -- hydrological field observations
- Recent ONR funded nearshore experiments (e.g., Duck'94, SandyDuck, etc.)
- USGS funded US Seabed and GO Seabed historical seabed data sets
- NSF-funded Marine Geology historical database development
- US and Canadian site-specific sea floor observatories for shallow water marine sediment dynamics

- Underutilized and/or inaccessible industry seismic and stratigraphic data

Lab Examples:

- NSF National Center for Earth surface Dynamics -- proposed center to facilitate physical laboratory experiments on erosion/transport/depositional systems.

- ONR funded U-Tube facility at Univ. Illinois for wave boundary layer transport

Past compilations of laboratory flume data.
European labs, e.g., Hanover (Waves), Delft (Nearshore and estuarine), Utrecht (Depositional environments)

(Note recent growing interest in lab experiments, despite long-term reduction in US funding support)

Conclusions:

- Common packages of existing data would be an immensely valuable resource

-There are a multitude of large historical and ongoing field and lab programs, observatories and historical data bases to provide potential model resources.

- Each model component would potentially benefit from one or more CSM-stored databases.

- The modeling community must invest limited resources toward cataloguing, linking to and/or mining the most useful existing lab and field data sets.

- These data sets will be essential for model bench-marking, quality control and advancement of community sediment models.

- Laboratory data sets, artificially modified landscapes and strongly forced field environments are especially conducive to forming benchmark data sets.

- CSM should highlight processes which are poorly understood from a modeling viewpoint and establish a dialogue with the broader community to focus modeling, field and laboratory investigations toward specific goals.