Gravity Current Flow past a Circular Cylinder: Forces, Wall Shear Stresses and Implications for Scour

E. Gonzalez-Juez and E. Meiburg (UCSB)

T. Tokyay and G. Constantinescu (U. of Iowa)

- Motivation
- Governing equations / computational approach
- Results
 - drag and lift forces
 - wall shear stress
- Summary and outlook



Coastal margin processes



Turbidity current

- Underwater sediment flow down the continental slope
- Can transport many km³ of sediment
- Can flow O(1,000)km or more
- Often triggered by storms or earthquakes
- Repeated turbidity currents in the same region can lead to the formation of hydrocarbon reservoirs



Turbidity current. http://www.clas.ufl.edu/

Turbidity current (cont'd)



Var Fan, off Nice coast, caused in 1979 by airport construction accident

Turbidity current (cont'd)



Off the coast of Santa Barbara/Goleta

Theoretical framework: Dilute flows

Volume fraction of particles of $O(10^{-2} - 10^{-3})$ *:*

- particle radius « particle separation
- particle radius « characteristic length scale of flow
- coupling of fluid and particle motion primarily through momentum exchange, not through volumetric effects
- effects of particles on fluid continuity equation negligible

Moderately dilute flows: Two-way coupling

Mass fraction of heavy particles of O(10%), small particle inertia (e.g., sediment transport):

- particle loading modifies effective fluid density
- particles do not interact directly with each other

Current dynamics can be described by:

- *incompressible continuity equation*
- variable density Navier-Stokes equation (Boussinesq)
- conservation equation for the particle concentration field
- → don't resolve small scale flow field around each particle, but only the large fluid velocity scales

Moderately dilute flows: Two-way coupling (cont'd)

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{u}_{f} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}_{f}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u}_{f} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u}_{f} = -\nabla p + \frac{1}{Re} \nabla^{2} \vec{u}_{f} + c \vec{e}_{g}$$

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + [(\vec{u}_{f} + \vec{U}_{s}) \nabla] c = \frac{1}{Sc Re} \nabla^{2} c$$

$$\frac{settling}{velocity}$$

$$Re = \frac{u_b L}{\nu}$$
, $Sc = \frac{\nu}{D}$, $U_s = \frac{u_s}{u_b}$

Model problem



Dense front propagates along bottom wall

Light front propagates along top wall



g

3D turbidity current – Temporal evolution

DNS simulation (Fourier, spectral element, $7x10^7$ grid points)



Necker, Härtel, Kleiser and Meiburg (2002a,b)

- turbidity current develops lobe-and-cleft instability of the front
- current is fully turbulent
- erosion, resuspension not accounted for

Examples of pipelines under threat from gravity currents



U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2004-1286

Placement of pipelines on the ocean floor



• avoid submarine canyons

Flow configuration for numerical simulation

Lock release flow, compositional current only:



Numerical technique

- DNL/LES finite volume code (Pierce & Moin 2001)
- central differencing, Crank-Nicolson time stepping
- Poisson equation for pressure solved by multigrid technique
- FORTRAN code parallelized with MPI
- simulations on up to 64 CPUs

Temporal evolution of the flow



- what magnitude forces and moments are exerted on the obstacle?
- *steady vs. unsteady?*
- erosion and deposition near the obstacle?

Results: Drag and lift force

Comparison with experiments by Ermanyuk and Gavrilov (2005):



- impact, transient and quasisteady stage
- 2D simulation captures impact, overpredicts quasisteady fluctuations
- 3D simulation captures impact and quasisteady stages well
- difference between 2D and 3D similar to uniform flow past cylinder

Results: Drag and lift force (cont'd)

Origin of force fluctuations:



• *Karman vortex shedding from the cylinder*

Results: Spanwise drag variation

Impact stage:

local drag coeff. value along span



front location vs. time

• spanwise drag variation dominated by lobe-and-cleft structure

Results: Spanwise drag variation (cont'd) Quasisteady stage:



• spanwise drag variation scales with cylinder diameter

Results: Influence of gap size

Streamwise vorticity structure:

gap width ~ cylinder diameter

gap width « cylinder diameter



• small gap size distorts vortex structure in the near wake

Results: Wall shear stress

Friction velocity:











• longitudinal structures, maximum under the cylinder

Results: Wall shear stress (cont'd)

Friction velocity:



• longitudinal structures, maximum under the cylinder

Results: Influence of gap size

Friction velocity below the cylinder:



- large spanwise variations during impact
- small gap size results in larger friction velocity
- spanwise variations can result in local scouring

Summary

- high resolution 2D and 3D simulations of gravity currents interacting with submarine pipelines
- 2D simulations capture impact, but overpredict force fluctuations during quasisteady stage, 3D simulations capture both stages
- for gap sizes ≥ cylinder diameter, the structure is similar to uniform flow past cylinder
- for gap sizes « cylinder diameter, the flow structure is distorted
- *during impact stage, spanwise drag variation determined by lobe-and-cleft structure*
- during late stages, spanwise variations scale with cyl. diameter
- wall shear stress has longitudinal structures, max. under cylinder
- strong spanwise wall shear stress fluctuations during impact → potential for localized scour

Acknowledgments

• IGERT fellowship for Esteban Gonzalez-Juez