# Exploring delta morphodynamics with a coupled river-ocean model



Katherine Ratliff<sup>1</sup> (k.ratliff@duke.edu), Eric Hutton<sup>2</sup>, A. Brad Murray<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Earth & Ocean Sciences, Duke University, Durham, NC; <sup>2</sup>Community Surface Dynamics Modeling System, Boulder, CO



## **Motivation & Research Questions**

- Deltas are flat & fertile  $\rightarrow$  densely populated
- Important for agriculture, resources, and transportation
- Inhabitants increasingly susceptible to natural disasters
- Humans have:
  - Decreased sediment supply (e.g. dams)
  - Altered river course (e.g. channelization, levees)
- Relative sea-level rise rate (SLRR) increases  $\rightarrow$  aggradation & backfilling increase (morphodynamic backwater)  $\rightarrow$  avulsions more frequent

How do fluvial (river + floodplain) dynamics, wave climate, and RSLR affect delta morphology?

How do long-term delta morphodynamics depend on anthropogenic influences? (e.g., land-use and climate change)



## **New Delta Evolution Model**

- Need to link both fluvial, deltaic, and coastal systems over multiavulsion and lobe-building timescales
- Based on couplings using the Community Surface Dynamics Modeling System framework (Basic Model Interface)
- Generalized & scale invariant
- Capable of simulating large space & time scales





## **River Avulsion and Floodplain Evolution Model (RAFEM)**

- Cell width >> channel width
- **Steepest-descent methodology** (following Jerolmack and Paola, 2007)
- **Diffusion of river profile** (Paola et al., 1992; Paola 2000) ٠
- River avulsions triggered by normalized superelevation ratio (SER) (Mohrig et al., 2000), unsuccessful if not shorter than previous path



## **Coastline Evolution** Model (CEM)

- Shoreline erosion & accretion driven by alongshore sediment transport
- **Conserves nearshore sediment**
- Wave climate and shadowing





**Floodplain deposition** = crevasse splay (after 'failed' avulsion; steepest path longer than current course)



## **Preliminary Results**

- fluvial dominance ratio R, where R < I is wave dominated [Nienhuis et al., 2015]):
- avulsions localized, less river shortening
- in long term, shoreline rugosity

- dominated case
- with constant forcings:



#### References

Ashton, A. D., and A. B. Murray (2006), High-angle wave instability and emergent shore- line shapes: 1. Modeling of sand waves, flying spits, and capes, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 111, F04011. Hutton, E. W., and J. P. Syvitski (2008), Sedflux 2.0: An advanced process-response model that generates three-dimensional stratigraphy, Computers & Geosciences, 34(10), 1319–1337.

JeroImack, D. J., and C. Paola (2007), Complexity in a cellular model of river avulsion, Geomorphology, 91(3), 259–270.

Mohrig, D., P. L. Heller, C. Paola, and W. J. Lyons (2000), Interpreting avulsion process from ancient alluvial sequences: Guadalope-Matarranya system (northern Spain) and Wasatch Formation (western Colorado), Geological Society of America Bulletin, 112(12), 1787–1803.

Nienhuis, J. H., A. D. Ashton, and L. Giosan (2015), What makes a delta wave-dominated?, Geology, 43(6), 511–514.

Paola, C., P. L. Heller, and C. L. Angevine (1992), The large-scale dynamics of grain-size variation in alluvial basins, 1: Theory, Basin Research, 4 (2), 73–90.

Paola, C. (2000), Quantitative models of sedimentary basin filling, Sedimentology, 47(s1), 121–178.

### Acknowledgements

NSF Geomorphology and Land-use Dynamics (EAR-13-24114) & the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Program (DGF1106401) provided support.

Visit https://github.com/katmratliff or csdms.colorado.edu for code & more info.