The impact of marine melting and sedimentation on glacier advance and retreat: Southern Patagonia Icefield, Chile Katie Boldt¹, Charles Nittrouer^{1,2}, Bernard Hallet², Michele Koppes³, Carlos Moffat⁴, Adam Barker², Andres Rivera⁵, John Anderson⁶ (1) School of Oceanography, (2) Department of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA (kboldt@uw.edu); (3) University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; (4) Universidad de Concepcion, Concepcion, Chile; (5) Centro de Estudios Cientificos, Valdivia, Chile; (6) Rice University, Houston, Texas

I. MOTIVATION

Glacial retreat around the world has been used as dramatic and visible evidence of climate change, and it has considerable practical importance because it directly contributes to global sea-level rise, a major threat of climate change. On a global scale, the complex behavior of outlet glaciers is a prime factor limiting confidence in predictions of sea-level rise. The controls, however, on the fluctuations of some of the largest glaciers on the planet, are only partly related to climate variability and they remain poorly understood.

A substantial set of investigations on fjord physical processes highlight the complexity of melting and sedimentdelivery mechanisms occurring on and near the terminus. Melting is a strong function of water circulation, and it depends in part on the fjord geometry and the density structure of the water column, which are respectively affected by sediment accumulation and sediment concentration.

In addition to forming shoals that can physically and thermally buttress tidewater glaciers, sediments produced by these glaciers are of interest because they create sedimentary archives recording a wide-range of important continental-margin processes. Existing pioneering observations highlight the need for additional information based on more comprehensive, year-round measurements of temperature, circulation, and sediment-transport processes in fjords close to the ice front, as well as concurrent glaciological and meteorological observations.





Two neighboring glaciers in Patagonia display opposite terminus behavior: one has retreated dramatically while the other has advanced, and yet they share essentially the same source area. (Surface velocities courtesy Eric Rignot, 2003)

Pio XI Glacier:

- -longest temperate glacier in South America
- -only advancing glacier in South Patagonia Icefield
- -terminus has thickened, advanced through mature forest
- -surface speeds: 1964 ~2 m/day
 - 1984-1986: 3.3 m/day
 - 1995: 50 m/day (avg. 19 m/day for 5 days)
- Jorge Montt Glacier:
- -18 m/yr thinning

III. OBJECTIVES For both study glaciers we plan to document:

1) The principal submarine sediment transport and depositional processes that control sediment accumulation and shoaling, and the rates at which they operate

2) Characteristics of fjord circulation that determine the rate of melting below the water

In order to answer the questions:

1) How do sediments and seawater impact the stability of glaciers by respectively controlling how much of the ice terminus is exposed to marine waters and how fast it is melting?

2) How exactly do glacial-marine sediments impact and record the detailed history of glacier advance and retreat?

-retreated 7.8 km betweem 1990 and 2000 -surface velocities in 1980's ~ 0.7 m/day -1995 SAR pixel tracking suggests 5.6 m/day -11 km-long fjord with ~40 m of sediment

line	Rent -	PIO XI
		IORGE MONTT
lling ho	w much of the	ice

-Bathymetry

- -Sediment cores for record of glacial activity -Radiochemical analyses (²³⁴Th, ²¹⁰Pb)
- -Seismic profiling for sediment thickness and extent -Water-column measurements (currents, salinity,

temperature, suspended sediment) for melt rates and sediment-transport processes





Above: Location map for CTD profiles shown at right. Location colors indicate the color of the corresponding CTD profile.

Right: Temperature, salinity, and suspendedsediment profiles from within the inner fjord (upper panel), and from various sites outside the inner fjord (bottom panel).

High concentrations of suspended-sediment near the fjord seabed likely reflect sediment transport in intermediate melt layers and the bottom boundary layer.







Few studies provide ice-front melt rates, which highlights the necessity for more in-depth studies

Motyka et al. (2003):	Temperate Alaskan fjord 12 m/day
	7.8 deg C at ice front
Rignot et al. (2010):	West Greenland fjords
	0.7-3.9 m/day,
	~1.2 deg C at ice front
Straneo et al. (2010):	East Greenland fjord
	max ~4 deg C at ice front



(After Powell and Molnia 1989) Patterns of circulation, sediment transport and accumulation near ice front are complex.

	(dpm g ⁻¹) 0.1 1	
0-	3	ļ
10 -	1	
10 -	f.	ł
	1	(
- 00		F
50 -	47	5
- 00	1 ^I	E
20 -	1.5 cm/y	f
60 -		ſ

IV. METHODS





VI. FJORD PROCESSES

SEDIMENT TRANSPORT PROCESSES

Left: ²¹⁰Pb profile from Europa Fjord, Patagonia (unpublished) **Right:**²³⁴Th deposition rate in Icy Bay, AK (modified from Jaeger and Nittrouer, 1999).



SUSPENDED SEDIMENT IN FJORDS



ENVISAT) for 25 Feb 2010. What are the details of the mechanism delivering and transporting sediment?

JORGE MONT1



Below: Sample seismic data from the Jorge Montt inner fjord (top left, B-B') and beyond the recent moraine (top right, A-A'). Note the steep-sided fjord walls and ~40 m of sedimentary sequence in the seismic line from the inner fjord. Beyond the moraine, ~ 100 m of sediment has accumulated. An along-channel transect (bottom, C-C') shows sediment accumulation in the outer basin, and the presence of another moraine, likely formed during the Little Ice Age (LIA).



March 2011: Bathymetric and hydrographic surveys, moored-instrument deployments, and sediment-core collection. Also install meteorological stations and time-lapse cameras. **Future:** Early and late melt season ice-proximal measurements (coring and water-column profiles) to relate changes in subglacial hydrology to concurrent fjord processes. Also monitor ablation with a pressure transducer in a bore hole, and install GPS units. We would return the subsequent year to mirror the sampling plan, utilizing both locally available vessels and a large ice breaker.

We thank the Quaternary Research Center at the University of Washington for supporting the reconnaissance to Jorge Montt in February 2010. This material is based upon work launched with support from the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 0338371. This research is also supported by FONDECYT grants to C. Moffat and A. Rivera. K. Boldt is supported through the NDSEG Graduate Fellowship Program.



PIO XI



Left: Bathymetry and glacier extent of Jorge Montt Glacier since 1945, with locations of seismic lines shown below. Bathymetry and seismic profiles were collected during the 2010 survey.

Above: Terminus positions during advance for Pio XI.

VIII. FUTURE WORK



KNOWLEDGMENTS